



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,719	08/25/2003	Darren Neuman	1875.4480001	9850
26111 7590 10/11/2007 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER BARBEE, MANUEL L	
			ART UNIT 2857	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/11/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

TH

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,719	NEUMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Manuel L. Barbee	2857	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Manuel L. Barbee.

(3) Patrick Hansen.

(2) Theodore Wood.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sebaa, Lahouari et al. "Self-Testable Video Controllers", 27-29 September 1994, WESCON/94. "Idea/Microelectronics" Conference, pages 542-546.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives discussed proposed amendments to claims including a "dynamically configurable" data path and the testing output data-path being "dedicated to cyclic redundancy checksum (CRC) output data". Applicants stated that these limitations did not appear to be in the prior art. The Examiner stated that the testing output data path dedicated to CRC output data appeared to read over the Sebaa reference since the data path in Sebaa had multiple purposes; however, the Examiner stated that there would be some minor consideration over whether the data path was dedicated to CRC analysis at the time that it was configured for CRC analysis .